

COURSE: Qualitative Research Methods
PROGRAM: Research Methods School
SEMESTER AND YEAR: 1st 2024
CLASS-HOURS: 30 hours
PROFESSOR: Eliane Pereira Zamith Brito
LANGUAGE: English

Course Description

This course is introductory to qualitative research methods. Students will be exposed to the most traditional research approaches in management using qualitative research methods. In addition to research methods, the course briefly introduces other aspects related to scientific knowledge building, such as ontology and epistemology. The course address theoretical and practical perspectives in conducting qualitative studies in management.

Learning Goals

The course learning goals are presented in the table below. It also shows how the course contributes to the learning goals of the Ph.D. program (CMCDAE).

Level of contribution *			
High	Medium	Low	None
●●●	●●○	●○○	○○○

CMCDAE Objectives	Course learning goals	Level of Contribution
Qualitative research methods	Understand different perspectives and research methods with qualitative data. Understand important aspects of the researcher's ethical position.	●●●
Quantitative research methods		○○○
Knowledge of research themes and theory		○○○
Research procedures	Develop an overview of research designs of different perspectives of management knowledge building using qualitative data.	●●○
Relevance and innovation in research	Develop relevant and innovative research proposals using methods that are reliable and widely accepted by the scientific community in management.	●○○
Development of academic papers	Understand the criteria for evaluating the quality of research with qualitative data published in relevant publications in management.	●○○

The full description of the CMCDAE objectives, and other related information, may be found at <https://rebrand.ly/cmae-eaesp> (masters) e <https://rebrand.ly/cdae-eaesp> (doctorate).

Previous knowledge required

No previous knowledge is required.

Content

1. Philosophies underpinning qualitative research
2. Qualitative research design
3. Data collection
 - a. Types of interviews
 - b. Observation
 - c. Documents or secondary data
 - d. Research notes
 - e. Visual data
4. Data analysis and interpretation
5. Qualitative research approaches in management
6. Assessing qualitative research
7. Reflexivity in qualitative research
8. Ethical research practice

Learning methodology

The course uses a student-reflection-based learning approach. Students are expected to study the texts indicated in depth for class discussions. In addition, they are expected to have active and theory-based participation. Bring your notes and doubts to support your participation in the discussions.

Classes will include interactive lectures conducted by the professor or guests, presentation and discussion of bibliographical references indicated a priori, discussion of texts with examples of the application of qualitative methods in management, and activities for practising the methods.

Students assessment

Individual assessment: Students will have to present tasks that are indicated as preparatory activities for each session. Participation in session discussions is a fundamental element of the individual learning and assessment. The quality of the preparatory activities and participation in the discussions will be considered as evidence that the participant actually read the texts indicated for the session and thought about the content, thus preparing for the session. In discussions, the so-called "stupid questions" that no one else is willing to ask but which open up productive avenues for discussion in the group will be positively valued. Constructive and respectful criticism or opposition to other participants' contributions will also be appreciated. Unexcused absences and lack of preparation will count heavily against the participant's grade.

Group activities: Participants will be asked to present, in collaboration with other colleagues, text analysis or application of techniques. Group members will be evaluated for the presentation's depth, objectivity, and critical and didactic posture. Additionally, the group is expected to encourage other participants to contribute to the discussion through questions, examples, criticism, games, and other possibilities.

Individual final essay: At the end of the course, participants must submit a text in which a research topic in management is identified, and the student proposes a study using qualitative methods. The research question, data collection, and analysis methods must be indicated and justified. The text must contain at least five references from journals with a high impact factor in the area to position the research question, using gap-spotting or problematization mechanisms. The justifications for the methods must be supported by the texts discussed in the classes or other references chosen by the essay's author. This work must be presented in Word, and the central body of the text has to be between 1500 and 1700 words. The proposed structure of the text is as follows.

1. Contextualization;
2. Theoretical problematization or gap-spotting, which culminates in the presentation of the objective or research question of the study and
3. Discussion of the methodological ways to reach the objective of the study, including considerations about the quality of the research and ethical aspects in its conduction.

The evaluation of the work will consider the text quality (alignment of ideas and parts), the quality of the references included, the clarity of the research proposal, and the justifications for the proposed methods, including the fit to the intended research. It must be submitted through an e-class link by June 21st, 2023.

Individual exercises	25%
Individual participation in classes	30%
Group activities	15%
Final individual	30%

Academic Integrity

FGV/EAESP views academic honesty as one of its highest and most essential values in its mission to educate and train competent, honorable and socially responsible professionals. Therefore, in accordance with EAESP's Code of Conduct, cheating, submitting third-party reports, plagiarism, forging information, taking advantage of third-party copyrights without acknowledgment or any other dishonesty will not be tolerated.

Professor's contacts

eliane.brito@fgv.br

Rua Itapeva, 474; 9th floor –Marketing Department.

Bibliography

- Böhm, A. (2004). Theoretical Coding: Text Analysis in Grounded Theory. In Flick, U., von Kardoff, E., & Steinke, I. (Eds.). (2004). *A companion to qualitative research*. Sage.
- Cassell, C. (2018). Pushed beyond my comfort zone: MBA students experiences of conducting qualitative research. *Academy of Management Learning and Education*, 17(2), 119-136.
- Cassell, C., & Symon, G. (Eds.). (2004). *Essential guide to qualitative methods in organizational research*. Sage.
- Cepellos, V. M., & Tonelli, M. J. (2020). Grounded Theory: Passo a passo e questões metodológicas na prática. *RAM. Revista de Administração Mackenzie*, 21(5), 1-29.
- Charmaz, K. (2006). *Constructing grounded theory: A practical guide through qualitative analysis*. Sage.

- Charmaz, K. (2008). Grounded theory as an emergent method. In Hesse-Biber, S. N., & Leavy, P. (Eds.). *Handbook of emergent methods*. Guilford Press.
- Cilesiz, S., & Greckhamer, T. (2022). Methodological socialization and identity: A bricolage study of pathways toward qualitative research in doctoral education. *Organizational Research Methods*, 25(2), 337-370.
- Corbin, J., & Strauss, A. (2008). *Basics of qualitative research*. (3rd Ed.). Sage.
- Creswell, J. W. (2007). *Qualitative inquiry and research design: Choosing among five approaches* (2nd Ed.). Sage Publications.
- Denzin, N. K. (2001). The reflexive interview and a performative social science. *Qualitative Research*, 1(1), 23-46.
- Eisenhardt, K. M. (1989). Building theories from case study research. *Academy of Management Review*, 14(4), 532-550.
- Eisenhardt, K. M., & Graebner, M. E. (2007). Theory building from cases: Opportunities and challenges. *Academy of Management Journal*, 50(1), 25-32.
- Elliott, V. (2018). Thinking about the coding process in qualitative data analysis. *The Qualitative Report*, 23(11), 2850-2861.
- Flick, U. (2009). *Desenho da pesquisa qualitativa: Coleção Pesquisa qualitativa*. Bookman Editora. Tradução do The Sage Qualitative Research kit. Sage.
- Gephart Jr, R. P. (2004). Qualitative research and the Academy of Management Journal. *Academy of Management Journal*, 47(4), 454-462.
- Guest, G., Bunce, A., & Johnson, L. (2006). How many interviews are enough? An experiment with data saturation and variability. *Field methods*, 18(1), 59-82.
- Haynes, K. (2012). Reflexivity in qualitative research. In Symon, G., & Cassell, C. (Eds.). *Qualitative organizational research: core methods and current challenges*. Sage.
- Holt, R. (2012). Ethical Research Practice. In Symon, G., & Cassell, C. (Eds.). *Qualitative organizational research: core methods and current challenges*. Sage.
- Kozinets, R. V. (2020). *Netnography: The Essential Guide to Qualitative Social Media Research*. Sage Publications.
- Kozinets, R. V., Scaraboto, D., & Parmentier, M. A. (2018). Evolving netnography: How brand auto-netnography, a netnographic sensibility, and more-than-human netnography can transform your research. *Journal of Marketing Management*, 34 (3-4), 231-242.
- Prasad, P. (2005). *Crafting qualitative research: Beyond positivist traditions*. Routledge.
- Saldaña, J. (2009). *The Coding Manual for Qualitative Researchers*, Sage Publications.
- Sandberg, J., & Alvesson, M. (2011). Ways of constructing research questions: gap-spotting or problematization? *Organization*, 18(1), 23-44.
- Siggelkow, N. (2007). Persuasion with case studies. *Academy of Management Journal*, 50(1), 20-24.
- Spradley, J. P. (1979). *The ethnographic interview*. Harcourt College Publishers.
- Spradley, J. P. (1980). *Participant Observation*. Waveland Press.
- Strauss, A., & Corbin, J. (1994). Grounded theory methodology. *Handbook of qualitative research*, 17, 273-85.
- Suddaby, R. (2006). From the editors: What grounded theory is not. *Academy of Management Journal*, 49(4), 633-642.

- Symon, G. & Cassell C. (2012). Assessing qualitative research. In Symon, G., & Cassell, C. (Eds.). (2012). *Qualitative organizational research: core methods and current challenges*. Sage.
- Tracy, S. J. (2010). Qualitative quality: Eight “big-tent” criteria for excellent qualitative research. *Qualitative Inquiry*, 16(10), 837-851.
- Van Maanen, J. (1979). The fact of fiction in organizational ethnography. *Administrative Science Quarterly*, 24(4), 539-550.
- Van Maanen, J. (2011a). *Tales of the field: On writing ethnography*. University of Chicago Press.
- Van Maanen, J. (2011b). Ethnography as work: Some rules of engagement. *Journal of Management Studies*, 48(1), 218-234.
- Williams, M., & Moser, T. (2019). The art of coding and thematic exploration in qualitative research. *International Management Review*, 15(1), 45-55.
- Yin, R. K. (2003). *Case Study Research: Design and Methods* (3rd Ed). Sage Publications.
- Zilber, T. B., & Zanoni, P. (2022). Templates of ethnographic writing in organization studies: Beyond the hegemony of the detective story. *Organizational research methods*, 25(2), 371-404.

Complementary Bibliography

- Agee, J. (2009). Developing qualitative research questions: a reflective process. *International Journal of Qualitative Studies in Education*, 22(4), 431-447.
- Alcadipani, R. (2010). Violência e masculinidade nas relações de trabalho: imagens do campo em pesquisa etnográfica. *Cadernos Ebape.br*, 8(1), 92-110.
- Alcadipani, R., Hassard, J., & Islam, G. (2018). “I shot the sheriff”: Irony, sarcasm and the changing nature of workplace resistance. *Journal of Management Studies*, 55(8), 1452-1487.
- Alvesson, M. & Ashcraft, K.L. (2012). Interviews. In Symon, G., & Cassell, C. (Eds.). *Qualitative organizational research: core methods and current challenges*. Sage.
- Alvesson, M., & Sandberg, J. (2011). Generating research questions through problematization. *Academy of Management Review*, 36(2), 247-271.
- Angrosino, M. (2009). *Etnografia e observação participante: Coleção pesquisa qualitativa*. Bookman Editora. Tradução do The Sage Qualitative Research kit. Sage
- Arsel, Z. (2017). Asking questions with reflexive focus: A tutorial on designing and conducting interviews. *Journal of Consumer Research*, 44(4), 939-948.
- Atkinson, R. (2001). The lifeworld interview. In Gubrium, J. F., & Holstein, J. A. *Handbook of interview research: Context and method*. Sage Publications.
- Banks, M. (2009). *Dados visuais para pesquisa qualitativa*. Coleção Pesquisa Qualitativa. Bookman Editora. Tradução do The Sage Qualitative Research kit. Sage
- Bansal, P., & Corley, K. (2012). Publishing in AMJ—Part 7: What's different about qualitative research? *Academy of Management Journal*, 55(3), 509-513.
- Barley, S. R. (2006). When I write my masterpiece: Thoughts on what makes a paper interesting. *Academy of Management Journal*, 49(1), 16–20.
- Barratt, M., Choi, T. Y., & Li, M. (2011). Qualitative case studies in operations management: Trends, research outcomes, and future research implications. *Journal of Operations Management*, 29(4), 329-342.

- Bauer, M. W., & Gaskell, G. (2005). *Pesquisa qualitativa com texto, imagem e som: Um manual prático*. (4a Ed.). Editora Vozes Limitada.
- Belk, R., Fischer, E., & Kozinets, R. V. (2012). *Qualitative consumer and marketing research*. Sage.
- Belotto, M. J. (2018). Data analysis methods for qualitative research: Managing the challenges of coding, interrater reliability, and thematic analysis. *The Qualitative Report*, 23(11), 2622-2633.
- Bettis, R. A., Gambardella, A., Helfat, C., & Mitchell, W. (2015). Qualitative empirical research in strategic management. *Strategic Management Journal*, 36(5), 637-639.
- Bhaskar, R. (2008). *A realist theory of Science*. New York: Routledge.
- Borghini, S., Carù, A., & Cova, B. (2010). Representing B to B reality in case study research: Challenges and new opportunities. *Industrial Marketing Management*, 39(1), 16-24.
- Cunliffe, A. L. (2010). Crafting qualitative research: Morgan and Smircich 30 years on. *Organizational Research Methods*, 14(4), 647-673.
- Denzin, N. K. (2001). The reflexive interview and a performative social science. *Qualitative Research*, 1(1), 23-46.
- Dumont, G. (2022). Immersion in organizational ethnography: Four methodological requirements to immerse oneself in the field. *Organizational Research Methods*, ahead of printing, 1-18, DOI: 10.1177/10944281221075365
- Gibbert, M., Ruigrok, W., & Wicki, B. (2008). What passes as a rigorous case study? *Strategic Management Journal*, 29(13), 1465-1474.
- Gibbs, G. (2018). *Análise de dados qualitativos: coleção pesquisa qualitativa*. Bookman Editora. Tradução do The Sage Qualitative Research kit. Sage.
- Godoy, A. S. (1995). Pesquisa qualitativa: tipos fundamentais. *Revista de Administração de Empresas*, 20-29.
- Golafshani, N. (2003). Understanding reliability and validity in qualitative research. *The qualitative report*, 8(4), 597-607.
- Gregory, R. W., Kaganer, E., Henfridsson, O., & Ruch, T. J. (2018). IT Consumerization and the Transformation of IT Governance. *MIS Quarterly*, 42(4), 1225-1253.
- Hannah, D. P., & Eisenhardt, K. M. (2018). How firms navigate cooperation and competition in nascent ecosystems. *Strategic Management Journal*, 39(12), 3163-3192.
- Jay, J. (2013). Navigating paradox as a mechanism of change and innovation in hybrid organizations. *Academy of Management Journal*, 56(1), 137-159.
- Ketokivi, M., & Choi, T. (2014). Renaissance of case research as a scientific method. *Journal of Operations Management*, 32(5), 232-240.
- King, N. (2012). Doing Template Analysis. In Symon, G., & Cassell, C. (Eds.). *Qualitative organizational research: core methods and current challenges*. Sage.
- Kozinets, R., Patterson, A., & Ashman, R. (2017). Networks of desire: How technology increases our passion to consume. *Journal of Consumer Research*, 43(5), 659-682.
- Krippendorff, K. (2013). *Content analysis: An introduction to its methodology*. (3rd Ed.). Sage.
- Kvale, S. (2007). *Doing interviews*. The Sage Qualitative Research kit. Sage.
- Lauterbach, A. A. (2018). Hermeneutic phenomenological interviewing: Going beyond semi-structured formats to help participants revisit experience. *The Qualitative Report*, 23(11), 2883-2898.

- Lincoln, Y. S., & Guba, E. G. (2011). Paradigmatic controversies, contradictions, and emerging confluences, revisited. In Denzin, N.K., & Lincoln, Y.S. (Org.). *The Sage handbook of qualitative research*.
- Maxwell, J. A. (2013). *Qualitative research design: An interactive approach*. Sage.
- McCracken, G. (1988). *The long interview*. Sage, Qualitative Research Methods Series, volume 13.
- McCutcheon, D. M., & Meredith, J. R. (1993). Conducting case study research in operations management. *Journal of Operations Management*, 11(3), 239-256.
- Miller, K. D.; Tsang, E. W. (2011). Testing management theories: critical realist philosophy and research methods. *Strategic Management Journal*, 32(2), 139-158.
- Moran, D. (2000). *Introduction to phenomenology*. Routledge.
- Morgan, G., & Smircich, L. (1980). The case for qualitative research. *Academy of Management Review*, 5(4), 491-500.
- Rapley, T. (2007). *Doing conversation, discourse and document analysis*. The Sage Qualitative Research kit. Sage.
- Richardson, R., & Kramer, E. H. (2006). Abduction as the type of inference that characterizes the development of a grounded theory. *Qualitative Research*, 6(4), 497-513.
- Saldaña, J. (2014). *Thinking qualitatively: Methods of mind*, Sage Publications.
- Sawhney, R. (2006). Interplay between uncertainty and flexibility across the value-chain: towards a transformation model of manufacturing flexibility. *Journal of Operations Management*, 24(5), 476-493.
- Schultz, M., & Hatch, M. J. (1996). Living with multiple paradigms the case of paradigm interplay in organizational culture studies. *Academy of Management Review*, 21(2), 529-557.
- Shepherd, D. A., & Sutcliffe, K. M. (2011). Inductive top-down theorizing: A source of new theories of organization. *Academy of Management Review*, 36(2), 361-380.
- Thompson, C. J., Locander, W. B., & Pollio, H. R. (1989). Putting consumer experience back into consumer research: The philosophy and method of existential phenomenology. *Journal of Consumer Research*, 16(2), 133-146.
- Thompson, C. J., Pollio, H. R., & Locander, W. B. (1994). The spoken and the unspoken: a hermeneutic approach to understanding the cultural viewpoints that underlie consumers' expressed meanings. *Journal of Consumer Research*, 21(3), 432-452.
- Van de Ven, A. H. *Engaged Scholarship: A Guide for Organizational and Social Research: A Guide for Organizational and Social Research*. Oxford University Press.
- Visconti, L. M. (2010). Ethnographic Case Study (ECS): Abductive modeling of ethnography and improving the relevance in business marketing research. *Industrial Marketing Management*, 39(1), 25-39.
- Weick, K. E. (1995). What theory is not, theorizing is. *Administrative Science Quarterly*, 40(3), 385-390.
- Welch, C., Plakoyiannaki, E., Piekkari, R., & Paavilainen-Mäntymäki, E. (2013). Legitimizing diverse uses for qualitative research: A rhetorical analysis of two management journals. *International Journal of Management Reviews*, 15(2), 245-264.
- Whetten, D.A. (1989). What Constitutes a Theoretical Contribution, *Academy of Management Review*, 14(4), 490-495.
- Woodside, A. G. (2010). *Case study research: Theory, methods and practice*. Emerald Group Publishing.

- Wu, M., Liu, Y., Chung, H. F., & Guo, S. (2022). When and how mobile payment platform complementors matter in cross-border B2B e-commerce ecosystems? An integration of process and modularization analysis. *Journal of Business Research*, 139, 843-854.
- Wu, Z., & Choi, T. Y. (2005). Supplier–supplier relationships in the buyer–supplier triad: Building theories from eight case studies. *Journal of Operations management*, 24(1), 27-52.
- Wu, Z., & Pagell, M. (2011). Balancing priorities: Decision-making in sustainable supply chain management. *Journal of operations management*, 29(6), 577-590.
- Zhang, D., Pee, L. G., & Cui, L. (2021). Artificial intelligence in E-commerce fulfillment: A case study of resource orchestration at Alibaba’s Smart Warehouse. *International Journal of Information Management*, 57, doi.org/10.1016/j.ijinfomgt.2020.102304.