

DEPARTAMENTO: ADMINISTRAÇÃO DA PRODUÇÃO E OPERAÇÕES (POI)
CURSO: MASTER AND PHD-BUSINESS ADMINISTRATION (CM-CDAE)

DISCIPLINA: MANAGING COLLABORATIVE INNOVATION:

PROFESSOR...... JULIANA BONOMI SANTOS

SEMESTER/YEAR: 2°/2021

SYLLABUS

LEARNING GOALS

Competitive pressures are increasingly forcing companies to innovate more and reduce their time-to-market. As a result, the open innovation approach is now the status quo and companies commonly adopt collaborative innovation practices to access knowledge and capabilities of customers and network partners located all around the world to increase their innovativeness and competitiveness. Corporations traditionally involved customers and suppliers in their product and service development processes and relied on networks to innovate. Now they also look to gain access to innovative technologies and business models participating in innovation ecosystem and engaging with startups. Building on insight from operations and industrial marketing management, this module will how collaboration can, and should, be managed to be promote innovation. To do so, in this course, we will explore the theories and concepts necessary to understand how to initiate, develop, manage, and terminate relationships with single and multiple partners to generate innovation and extract value from it.

Forte /	Intermediário	Reduzido / Low	Nenhum /
High	/ Medium		None
•••	••0	●00	000

CMCDAE Objectives	Course learning goals	Level of Contribution *
Qualitative research methods	- Undestand the use of qualitative methods to tackle research problems related to the concepts in analysis	●00
Quantitative research methods	- Understand the use of quantitative methods to tackle research problems related to the concepts in analysis	●00
Knowledge of research themes and theory	 Understand different streams of research that generate knowledge to understand open innovation efforts Learn the capabilities needed to innovate collaboratively Gain a perspective on the differences involved in collaborating with a single partner versus a network of partners 	•••
Research procedures		000
Relevance and innovation in research		000
Development of academic papers	- Develop in paper based on the literature studied to reflect critically on one of the topics studied	•••
Outros objetivos da disciplina	/ Other course learning goals:	

The full description of the CMCDAE objectives, and other related information, may be found at https://rebrand.ly/cmae-eaesp (masters) e https://rebrand.ly/cdae-eaesp (doctorate).



MAIN TOPICS - TBC

- I. Open Innovative
- **II.** Capabilities for collaborative innovation
- **III.** Customer and supplier involvement in the innovation process
- IV. Corporate Engagement with startups
- V. Innovation networks
- **VI.** Innovation ecosystems
- **VII.** Innovation network and ecosystem orchestration
- VIII. Innovation clusters, ecosystems, and Competitiveness

METODOLOGY

Seminars, discussion, articles, guest speakers and wrap-up;

Class structure: In each class, we will discuss a group of papers (3-4). Each week, one groups will have to prepare a presentation based on the papers and then we will discuss core concepts together.

Groups are expected to add one new reference to the ones proposed by the lecturer.

A final project report in an article format will be presented at the end of the program.

EVALUATION PROCESS

1.Class participation: weekly participation and seminars	40%
2.Written report related to the final project: delivery by the mid of the program	20%
3. Final examination (Final project with a meaningful research question and concepts)	40%

The final project should be an essay aiming to create a conceptual framework that could orient future empirical work or defending an central argument. Although the final project is not a full paper, it should be in a paper format. The paper should follow the ENANPAD submission guidelines (Chamada-Trabalhos-EnANPAD-2021-PO-OF-602597b7231ad.pdf). Papers are limited to 6 pages including references.

COMMUNICATION AND OFFICE HOURS

- The best way to contact the instructors is via email. Feel free to drop in during office hours or make an appointment to discuss any questions, concerns, or ideas you have about the class and the assignments.
- Juliana Bonomi (juliana.bonomi@fgv.br)
- The communication between professors and students will be carried through the platform eclass/blackboard.
- The material related to Clusters are part of the MOC program is part of a HBS Network and the ISC(Institute of Strategy and Competitiveness),led by Prof. M. Porter.

PROGRAMA AULA-A-AULA



Class number	Topic	Content
1	Introduction to the discipline Open Innovation	- Guidlines for the final paper - Seminars for all classes
2	Capabilities for collaborative innovation	Relationships-oriented capabilitiesInnovation capabilitiesOperational capabilities
3	Customer and supplier involvement in the innovation process	 Differences between buyer and supplier involvement in new product and service development processes Collaboration features Performance implications
4	Corporate Engagement with startups	Modes of corporate engagement with startupsChallengesGovernance structures
5	Innovation networks	CharacteristicsNetwork structuresManaging network-based innovation projects
6	Innovation ecosystems	CharacteristicsDifferences in relation to networksEcosystem membership
7	Innovation network and ecosystem orchestration	 Orchestration concepts Types of orchestrators and their capabilities Differences in ecosystem and network orchestration
8	Clusters, innovation, and Competitiveness	- Clusters organization and its relationship to firms' competitiveness and local development



REFERENCES (SUBJECT TO UPDATE)

1 Open innovation

CHESBROUGH, H.W.; The Era of Open Innovation. Sloan Management Review, Vol 44, n° 3, Spring 2003, pp. 35-41

; Managing Open Innovation. Research Technology Management; jan-feb 2004, pp.23-26

CHESBROUGH, H.W. Why Companies Should Have Open Business Models. Sloan Management Review, Vol 48, n° 2, winter, pp. 22-28, 2007.

2 Capabilities for collaborative innovation

Mitrega, M., Forkmann, S., Ramos, C., & Henneberg, S. C. (2012). Networking capability in business relationships—Concept and scale development. Industrial Marketing Management, 41(5), 739-751.

McGrath, H., & O'Toole, T. (2013). Enablers and inhibitors of the development of network capability in entrepreneurial firms: A study of the Irish micro-brewing network. Industrial Marketing Management, 42(7), 1141-1153.

Peng, D. X., Schroeder, R. G., & Shah, R. (2008). Linking routines to operations capabilities: A new perspective. Journal of operations management, 26(6), 730-748.

Wu, S. J., Melnyk, S. A., & Swink, M. (2012). An empirical investigation of the combinatorial nature of operational practices and operational capabilities: compensatory or additive?. International Journal of Operations & Production Management.

3 Customer and supplier involvement in the innovation process

CARBONELL, P., & RODRIGUES-ESCUDERO, A. I. (2014). "Antecedents and consequences of using information from customers involved in new service development", Journal of Business & Industrial Marketing, Vol. 29, N. 2, pp. 112-122.

QUINN, James Brian. Outsourcing innovation: the new engine of growth. Sloan management review, v. 41, n. 4, p. 13, 2000.

LI, Y. & VANHAVERBEKE, W. (2009). "The effects of inter-industry and country difference in supplier relationships on pioneering innovations". Technovation, Vol. 29, pp. 843-858.

LUZZINI, D., AMANN, M., CANJATO, F., ESSIG, M., & RONCHI, S. (2015). "The path of innovation: purchasing and supplier involvement into new product development". Industrial Marketing Management. Vol. 47, pp. 109-120.

McGINNIS, M. A.; VALLOPRA, R. M. (1999). "Purchasing and supplier involvement: Issues and insights regarding new products success". Journal of Supply Chain Management, Vol. 35, N. 3, pp. 4-15.

MISHRA, A.A. & SHAH, R (2009). "In union lies strength: Collaborative competence in new product development and its performance effects." Journal of Operations Management, Vol. 27, pp. 324-338

4 Corporate Engagement with startups



Kanbach, D. K., & Stubner, S. (2016). Corporate accelerators as recent form of startup engagement: The what, the why, and the how. Journal of Applied Business Research (JABR), 32(6), 1761-1776.

Kohler, T. (2016). Corporate accelerators: Building bridges between corporations and startups. Business Horizons, 59(3), 347-357.

Shankar, R. K., & Shepherd, D. A. (2019). Accelerating strategic fit or venture emergence: Different paths adopted by corporate accelerators. Journal of Business Venturing, 34(5), 105886.

Weiblen, T., & Chesbrough, H. W. (2015). Engaging with startups to enhance corporate innovation. California management review, 57(2), 66-90.

5 Innovation networks

Aarikka-Stenroos, L., Jaakkola, E., Harrison, D., & Mäkitalo-Keinonen, T. (2017). How to manage innovation processes in extensive networks: A longitudinal study. Industrial Marketing Management, 67(September), 88–105.*

Capaldo, A. (2007). Network structure and innovation: The leveraging of a dual network as a distinctive relational capability. Strategic Management Journal, 28(6), 585–608.*

Langlois, R. N., & Robertson, P. L. (1992). Networks and innovation in a modular system: Lessons from the microcomputer and stereo component industries. Research Policy, 21(4), 297–313.*

6 Innovation ecosystems

ENGEL, J.S.; DEL-PALACIO,I.; Global Clusters of Innovation: The case of Israel and Silicon Valley; California Management Review, Winter 2011,vol.53,No.2 .

Gomes, L. A. de V., Facin, A. L. F., Salerno, M. S., & Ikenami, R. K. (2018). Unpacking the innovation ecosystem construct: Evolution, gaps and trends. Technological Forecasting and Social Change, 136, 30–48.

Granstrand, O., & Holgersson, M. (2020). Innovation ecosystems: A conceptual review and a new definition. Technovation, 90–91(November).

Oh, D. S., Phillips, F., Park, S., & Lee, E. (2016). Innovation ecosystems: A critical examination. Technovation, 54, 1–6.

Osterwalder, A., Viki, T., & Pigneur, Y. (2019). Why Your Organization Needs an Innovation Ecosystem. Harvard Business Review.

7 Innovation network and ecosystem orchestration

Dhanaraj, C., & Parkhe, A. (2006). Orchestrating innovation networks. Academy of Management Review, 31(3), 659–669.*

Gawer, A., & Cusumano, M. A. (2014). Industry platforms and ecosystem innovation. Journal of Product Innovation Management, 31(3), 417–433.*

Giudici, A., Reinmoeller, P., & Ravasi, D. (2018). Open-system orchestration as a relational source of sensing capabilities: Evidence from a venture association. Academy of Management Journal, 61(4), 1369–1402.*

Hurmelinna-Laukkanen, P., & Nätti, S. (2018). Orchestrator types, roles and capabilities – A framework for innovation networks. Industrial Marketing Management, 74(September), 65–78.*



Nambisan, S., & Sawhney, M. (2011). Orchestration processes in network-centric innovation: Evidence from the field. Academy of Management Perspectives, 25(3), 40–57.*

8 Clusters, innovation and competitiveness

CASTELACCI, F.; Innovation and the competitiveness oF industries: Comparing the mainstream and the evolutionary approaches. Technological Forecasting and Social Change, Vol 75 (2008), 984-1006
DI SERIO, L.C.; VASCONCELLOS, M.A.; Estratégia e Competitividade Empresarial: Inovação e Criação de Valor. São Paulo: Saraiva, 2009

PORTER, M.E.; Clusters and the New Economics of Competition. HBR, Vol 76, nº 6, nov-dec 1998, pp. 77-90 ANTONELLI, C.; The Economics of Innovation: from the Classical Legacies to the Economics of Complexity. Economics of Innovation and New technology, vol. 18, nº 7-8, 2009, 611-646

ENGEL, J.S.; DEL-PALACIO,I.; Global Networks of Clusters of Innovation: The Accelerating the innovation process; Business Horizons, Article in Press.